1. If Uhha-muwas has bitten off Pissuwattis' nose, then he is liable to a 30 shekel fine. Uhha-muwas has not bitten off 30 shekel fine. Pissuwattis' nose. Therefore Uhha-muwas is not liable to a

- 2. If Uhha-muwas has bitten off Pissuwattis' nose, then, unless either Uhha-muwas is liable to a 1 mina fine, or he has not mina fine. But Pissuwattis is not a female slave. Therefore bitten off Pissuwattis' nose. Pissuwattis is a female slave, Uhha-muwas is liable to a 1
- 3. Only if Pissuwattis is a free woman is Uhha-muwas, who has so Uhha-muwas is not liable to a 1 mina fine, even though bitten off her nose, liable to a 1 mina fine. Pissuwattis is a he has bitten off her nose. female slave. Therefore Pissuwattis is not a free woman, and
- 4. If Uhha-muwas has bitten off Pissuwattis' nose, then a female slave. Therefore Uhha-muwas is liable to a 1 mina woman, or a 30 shekel fine if Pissuwattis is a female slave. Uhha-muwas is liable to a 1 mina fine if Pissuwattis is a free liable to a 30 shekel fine. Pissuwattis is either a free woman or Uhha-muwas has bitten off Pissuwattis' nose, but is not

validity, using the interpretation provided. (For the origin of these examples, see the Answers.) Exercise 21C. Test each of the following arguments for

- P: Uhha-muwas has bitten off Pissuwattis' nose.
- Q: Pissuwattis is a female slave.
- R: Pissuwattis is a free woman.
- S: Uhha-muwas is liable to a 1 mina fine.
- T: Uhha-muwas is liable to a 30 shekel fine.